

United States Patent and Trademark Office

23

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vriginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/963,905	09/26/2001	Todd A. Hagan	0275D-000435	9433
27572	7590 07/17/2003			
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 82 BLOOMFIEI	8 LD HILLS, MI 48303		HARMON, CHRISTOPHER R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	13
			DATE MAILED: 07/17/2003	$\mathcal{I} \mathcal{J}$

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/963,905	HAGAN ET AL.					
Advisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit					
	Christopher R Harmon	3721					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 11 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	evoid abandonment of this applicable and the same of this applicable and the same of this applicable and the same of the same	cation. A proper re ch places the appli	ply to a cation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The danave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensor CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterarned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI tee on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ 136(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in				
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 	s Brief must be filed within the pR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:						
(a) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);					
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);						
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	l be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does No	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen expianation of now the new or amended claims w			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: none.							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-15 and 23-26.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 27-28.							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Exar	niner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·•					
10. Other:		Enge &					
		EUGENE KIM PRIMARY EXAMIN	IER				

Continuation Sh et (PTO-303) 09/963,905

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: New issues have been raised such as the seal portion "meshingly" engaging the secondary structure; see claim 1.